

IN THE TABLE OFFICE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Shinya ABE et al.

Mail Stop: PCT

Serial No. 10/577,926

Attorney Docket No. 2006_0661A

Filed May 1, 2006

WOBBLE INFORMATION RECORDING METHOD, INFORMATION RECORDING MEDIUM, AND RECORDING AND REPRODUCTION METHOD AND APPARATUS THEREOF [Corresponding to PCT/JP2005/008838 Filed May 10, 2005]

SUBMISSION OF ENGLISH VERSION OF IPER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

An English language version of the International Preliminary Examination Report is submitted herewith for the Examiner's consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Shinya ABE et al.

By

Michael S. Huppert Registration No. 40,268 Attorney for Applicants

MSH/kjf Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 May 15, 2007

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 665160	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/JP2005/008838	International filing date (day) 10 May 2005 (10.05.2005)		Priority date (day/month/year) 11 May 2004 (11.05.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.						
This international preliminary International Searching Author	report on patentability (Chapte rity under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	er I) is issued by the	International Bureau on behalf of the			
2 This DEDORT consists of a se						
	•		earching Authority should be read as a reference			
to the international preliminar	y report on patentability (Chapt	er I) instead.	and thing reasonly should be read as a reference			
3. This report contains indication	s relating to the following item	s:				
Box No. I	Basis of the report					
Box No. II	Priority					
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to r	novelty, inventive step and industrial			
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with a explanations support	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement			
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application				
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international appli	cation			
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).						
		<u> </u>				
		Date of issuance of 14 November 20				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes						
1211 Geneva 20. S		Ī	Yoshiko Kuwahara			

e-mail: pt07@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 2 0 OCT 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WIPO WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 11.05.2004 PCT/JP2005/008838 10.05.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G11B7/007 **Applicant** MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer**

Geoghegan, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4295



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/008838

_						
_	Box No.	. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following juage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With reg necessa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:					
•		a sequence listing				
	□∵t	able(s) related to the sequence listing				
•	b. format of material:					
	□ iı	written format				
	□ ir	n computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	□ c	ontained in the international application as filed.				
	□ fi	led together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ fı	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.				
4.	. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/008838

_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	į.	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	r C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
1	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/008838

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-7, 9-20

No: Claims

8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-7, 9-20

No: Claims

8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 2004/025639 A (KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V; TIEKE, BENNO; NIJBOER, JAKOB, G;) 25 March 2004 (2004-03-25)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): an information recording medium comprising a plurality of recording layers (page 2 line 1), wherein a wobble polarity upon reproducing wobble information is the same for all the recording layers irrespective of recording system of each recording layer (page 9 line 19-page 10 line 3 & page 12 lines 1-26).

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 9 & 10

The combination of the features of dependent claims 9 and 10 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: none of the prior art proposes setting the initial wobble deviation direction in such a manner.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

4.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for recording wobble information of an information recording medium which comprises (page 8 lines 16-27 & page 9 lines 29-33): different recording systems (page 9 lines 20-21); and forming a guide grove having wobble information (page 8 lines 16-27 &

forming a guide groove having wobble information (page 8 lines 16-27 & page 9 lines 29-33).

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that: it includes provision specifying the initial wobble deviation direction depending on whether the selected recording system is a land-recording system or a groove-recording system

- 4.1.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

 one of a long time for start-up because of having to initially detect whether
 the portions used for recording is the groove portions or the land portions.
- 4.1.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

none of the prior art proposes setting the initial wobble deviation direction in such a manner.

5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 11-20

Claims 2, 11 and 16 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step. Claims 12, 13, 14 and 15 are dependent on claim 11, claims 17, 18, 19 and 20 are dependent on claim 16 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

6 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 3 & 5

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject matter of the corresponding independent claims 3 and 5 for a recording medium, which is also considered to be new and inventive

7 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 4, 6 & 7

Claim 4 is dependent on claim 3 and claim 6 and 7 are dependent on claim 5

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/008838

and as such they also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.